

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

CAROLYN H. MALONE,

Plaintiff,

v.

SEATTLE POLICE DEPARTMENT,  
et al.,

Defendants.

CASE NO. C19-868 MJP

ORDER ON PLAINTIFF'S  
MOTION TO INCLUDE  
ADDITIONAL DISCOVERY DATA  
RELEVANT TO LAWSUIT COURT  
CASE

The above-entitled Court, having received and read Plaintiff's Motion to Include Additional Discovery (II) Data Relevant to Lawsuit Court Case (Dkt. No. 22) and Defendant's Response (Dkt. No. 23), rules as follows:

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is DENIED, subject to Plaintiff re-filing a motion which specifies the relief she is seeking.

Despite being 14 pages in length, Plaintiff's filing in this motion does not appear to request any relief authorized under either the Court's Local Rules or the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The motion must therefore be denied. If there is something Plaintiff is specifically

1 seeking to discover which she believes is in Defendant's possession and to which she has a right,  
2 she may re-file her motion with a more definite statement by which the Court and Defendants  
3 can evaluate the merit of her request.  
4

5 The clerk is ordered to provide copies of this order to Plaintiff and to all counsel.

6 Dated June 22, 2020.

7   
8

9 Marsha J. Pechman  
United States Senior District Judge  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24